200		
	1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
	3	
	4	DEPARTMENT 41 HON. STEPHEN M. MOLONEY, JUDGE
	5	F.M. A MINOR,
	6	PLAINTIFF,
	7	VS. , NO. BC510993
	8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
	9	DEFENDANT(S).
	10)
	11	
	12	
	13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
	14	JULY 25, 2018
	15	
	16	APPEARANCES:
	17	
	18	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: TAYLOR & RING, LLP
	19	BY: DAVID M. RING, ESQ. BY: SONYA OSTOVAR, ESQ.
	20	1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE SUITE 360
	21	MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90266 310.209.4100
	22	FOR THE DEFENDANT: COLLINS COLLINS MUIR + STEWART,
	23	LLP BY: TOMAS A. GUTERRES, ESQ. BY: CHRISTIE B. SWISS ESQ.
	24	BY: CHRISTIE B. SWISS, ESQ. BY: KRISTIN HUYNH, ESQ. 1100 EL CENTRO STREET
	25	SOUTH PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91030
	26	626.243.1100
	27	TAMMIE HALL, CSR NO. 11525 OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE
	28	OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO LEMPORE

1 | I WILL GIVE YOU AFTER THE ATTORNEYS HAVE PRESENTED

2 | THEIR CLOSING ARGUMENT. THE WAY IT PROCEEDS AT THIS

3 POINT IS MR. RING WILL MAKE HIS OPENING ARGUMENT, AND

 $4 \mid$ THEN WE WILL TAKE A BREAK. AFTER THE BREAK,

5 MR. GUTERRES WILL MAKE HIS CLOSING ARGUMENT, AND THEN

WE MAY OR MAY NOT TAKE A BREAK, AND THEN MR. RING WILL

HAVE A REBUTTAL OPPORTUNITY, AND I'LL READ ONE LAST

INSTRUCTION TO YOU AND YOU WILL BEGIN YOUR

DELIBERATIONS.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. RING, THANK YOU.

MR. RING: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. GOOD MORNING.

THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT CASE. TWO WEEKS AGO I STOOD

HERE IN FRONT OF YOU, AND I TOLD YOU WHAT THIS CASE WAS

ABOUT, AND I TOLD YOU THIS WAS A CASE ABOUT THE

DESTRUCTION OF A GIRL'S LIFE. AND YOU HAVE HEARD THAT

EVIDENCE NOW. YOU HAVE HEARD HOW BRUTAL THAT SEXUAL

ABUSE OF FELICIA WAS.

THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE, THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, OR THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES, THEY COULD HAVE PREVENTED THIS FROM HAPPENING. THEY SHOULD HAVE PREVENTED THIS FROM

HAPPENING. THEY BREACHED THEIR MANDATORY DUTY TO

REPORT SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE. THEY HAD AN OBLIGATION

UNDER THE LAW TO REPORT IT, AND THEY DID NOT DO SO.

SO YOUR JOB IS TO HOLD THE COUNTY

RESPONSIBLE, TO HOLD THEM LIABLE, AND YOUR JOB IS TO

FULLY COMPENSATE FELICIA FOR THE HORRIFIC HARM THAT SHE

ENDURED AND THE HARM SHE'S GOING TO ENDURE FOR A LONG

1 TIME.

NOW, BEFORE I GET INTO MORE SPECIFICS ON
THIS CASE, I WANT TO STEP BACK FOR A MOMENT, AND I JUST
WANT TO GO OVER A FEW GENERAL THINGS, AND THEN WE'LL
TALK IN MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE CASE, BUT THE FIRST THING
I WANT TO DO IS I WANT TO THANK YOU. I WANT TO THANK
YOU.

AND MS. OSTOVAR AND MS. MASRY, THEY WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME FOR BEING JURORS ON THIS CASE, AND MR. MARQUEZ ASKED ME TO THANK YOU AS WELL, AND I KNOW FELICIA APPRECIATES YOU BEING HERE.

YOU KNOW, BEING ON A JURY -- YOU ARE GOING TO RENDER A VERDICT. YOU ARE GOING TO RENDER YOUR DECISION AND THEN YOU GET TO GO BACK TO YOUR LIVES, YOUR JOBS, YOUR FAMILIES, ALL THAT. BUT FELICIA, FELICIA LIVES WITH THAT SEXUAL ABUSE FOR THE REST OF HER LIFE, AND YOUR VERDICT WILL IMPACT HER FOR THE REST OF HER LIFE.

YOU KNOW, OUR JURY SYSTEM IS CRITICAL.

IT'S CRITICAL TO DELIVERING JUSTICE, AND YOU HAVE

PLAYED A VITAL ROLE IN IT. YOU HAVE BEEN HERE FOR TWO

OR THREE WEEKS NOW. YOU HAVE SHOWED UP. YOU HAVE DONE

YOUR JOB. YOU HAVE DONE WHAT THE SYSTEM ASKED YOU TO

DO. BUT YOU HAVE ONE LAST JOB TO DO. THAT IS GO INTO

THAT JURY ROOM AND DELIBERATE AND REACH A FAIR VERDICT.

YOU HAVE A LOT OF POWER AS A JURY. JURIES

HAVE LOTS OF POWER. WHEN YOU VOTE, THERE IS TWELVE OF YOU THAT ARE GOING TO GO BACK THERE. EACH ONE OF YOU

- 1 HAS ONE VOTE OUT OF TWELVE. WHEN YOU VOTE IN AN
- 2 ELECTION, YOU ARE ONE OF MILLIONS, OR A LOCAL ELECTION,
- 3 YOU ARE ONE OF THOUSANDS OR TENS OF THOUSANDS, BUT BACK
- 4 THERE IN THAT ROOM, YOU ARE GOING TO BE ONE OF TWELVE.
- 5 SO MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD. EACH ONE OF YOU HAS POWER
- 6 BACK THERE. DON'T BE SHY. VOICE YOUR OPINION.
- 7 YOU KNOW, JURIES ARE THE CONSCIENCE OF THE
- 8 COMMUNITY. THEY ARE THE VOICE OF THE COMMUNITY. SO
- 9 LET YOUR VOICE BE HEARD.
- 10 SO HOW DO YOU GO ABOUT THIS TASK? YOU GOT
- 11 A LOT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM HIS HONOR. IT'S A LOT TO
- 12 DIGEST, BUT I'M GOING TO TRY TO DISTILL IT FOR YOU HERE
- 13 IN MAYBE 45 MINUTES JUST SO YOU KNOW THE TIME FRAME.
- 14 45 MINUTES.
- 15 | FIRST YOU ARE GOING TO SELECT A
- 16 FOREPERSON. THAT'S IMPORTANT. SELECT SOMEONE THAT IS
- 17 | GOING TO LEAD THE GROUP. OKAY. THAT'S IMPORTANT.
- 18 | THAT'S THE FIRST THING YOU DO. TAKE YOUR TIME. YOU
- 19 HAVE BEEN HERE THREE WEEKS. YOU HAVE HEARD A LOT OF
- 20 TESTIMONY. LET EVERYONE BACK THERE HAVE A CHANCE TO
- 21 TALK. TAKE YOUR TIME ON THIS. THERE IS NO RUSH.
- 22 YOU ARE GOING TO BE GIVEN THESE JURY
- 23 | INSTRUCTIONS. THAT'S THE LAW. YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW THE
- 24 LAW. BUT YOU ARE THE DECIDERS OF THE FACTS OF THIS
- 25 CASE. YOU DECIDE WHAT HAPPENED.
- 26 SO HOW DO YOU RENDER YOUR VERDICT? YOU
- 27 RENDER YOUR VERDICT USING THIS SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
- 28 | THAT HIS HONOR JUST TALKED ABOUT. I HAVE AN

ENLARGEMENT OF IT, BUT EACH ONE OF YOU ARE GOING TO
HAVE THIS. THIS IS THE VERDICT. THIS IS HOW YOU
ACHIEVE A VERDICT. YOU CAN SEE THERE IS GOING TO BE

QUESTIONS ON EACH PAGE. ALL RIGHT.

WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH THESE WHILE I'M
UP HERE. AND THEN YOU GET TO DAMAGES, AND THE VERY
LAST QUESTION IS YOU DIVIDE UP FAULT, AND WE'LL TALK
ABOUT THAT. BUT THIS IS YOUR VERDICT, AND WHEN YOU GET
THROUGH IT ALL, YOU SIGN IT, AND THEN YOU COME INTO
COURT, AND IT'S READ.

ONE LAST THING I WANT TO TALK ABOUT BEFORE YOU GET INTO THE CASE, AND THAT IS HIS HONOR TALKED ABOUT A JURY INSTRUCTION CALLED THE BURDEN OF PROOF.

THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT, AND I JUST WANT TO GO OVER IT ONE LAST TIME. YOU HEARD ABOUT IT WHEN WE FIRST STARTED TALKING IN JURY SELECTION.

THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN THIS CASE IS MORE
LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE. IT'S NOT THE HIGHER
CRIMINAL STANDARD, BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. IT'S NOT
THAT STANDARD. IT IS SIMPLY A TILTING OF THE SCALES.
SO IF THE PLAINTIFF'S EVIDENCE TILTED THE SCALES IN THE
PLAINTIFF'S FAVOR, WE'VE MET OUR BURDEN OF PROOF ON
EVERY ONE OF THOSE QUESTIONS. THAT IS THE STANDARD FOR
EVERY ONE OF THOSE QUESTIONS, THE TILTING OF THE
SCALES.

SOME PEOPLE SAY 51 PERCENT AND 49 PERCENT.

MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE. THAT'S WHAT GUIDES YOU

BACK THERE. BUT I'LL TELL YOU THIS: THE EVIDENCE IN

THIS CASE IT'S OVERWHELMING THAT THE COUNTY IS LIABLE.

OVERWHELMING. AND IT'S OVERWHELMING WHAT THIS YOUNG

GIRL'S DAMAGES AND HARM IS. IT'S OVERWHELMING. IT'S

NOT EVEN CLOSE.

ALL RIGHT. ONE LAST THING. SO THE FOREPERSON. I TALKED ABOUT THAT. YOU ARE GOING TO PICK SOMEONE. THAT'S A REALLY IMPORTANT ROLE. WHY? BECAUSE THE FOREPERSON'S JOB IS TO GUIDE YOU THROUGH THESE QUESTIONS, AND IF THE JURY IS GOING OFF ON A TANGENT OR TALKING ABOUT THINGS THEY ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO BE TALKING ABOUT, THE FOREPERSON IS THE PERSON THAT BRINGS THEM BACK.

HIS HONOR READ AN INSTRUCTION THAT SAYS
YOU CAN'T TALK ABOUT INSURANCE. IF SOMEONE IS TALKING
ABOUT INSURANCE, THEN THE FOREPERSON SAYS "WE'RE NOT
TALKING ABOUT THAT. LET'S GO BACK TO FOCUS ON THE
TASKS AT HAND." YOU DECIDE THE CASE ON THE EVIDENCE
YOU HEARD IN THIS COURTROOM, AND THERE IS ONE THING
THAT YOU ARE ALLOWED TO BRING BACK THERE. THAT'S YOUR
COMMON SENSE. DON'T LEAVE THAT AT THE DOOR. THAT'S
WHAT HELPS YOU DECIDE THIS CASE.

LET'S TALK ABOUT THE CASE. YOU KNOW, THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, THE COUNTY OF L.A. INTERCHANGEABLE; RIGHT? D.C.F.S., THEY ARE THE LAST LINE OF DEFENSE FOR DEFENSELESS CHILDREN. LET ME SAY THAT AGAIN. THEY ARE THE LAST LINE OF DEFENSE FOR DEFENSELESS CHILDREN LIKE FELICIA.

AND YOU HEARD THE TESTIMONY FROM THOSE

COUNTY WITNESSES. THEY SAID -- I SAID "WHAT IS THE 1 NUMBER ONE PRIORITY?" IT'S PROTECTING KIDS. THAT IS 2 3 THEIR NO. 1 PRIORITY IS TO PROTECT CHILDREN WHEN THE PARENT WON'T. AND IT'S A CRITICAL ROLE BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME VERY VULNERABLE CHILDREN WHO ARE NOT PROTECTED 5 BY THEIR PARENTS, FOR WHATEVER REASON, BECAUSE THE 6 PARENT DOESN'T CARE, BECAUSE THE PARENT IS A CRIMINAL 7 8 LIKE YOU SAW HERE, A VARIETY OF REASONS. AND THAT'S WHY THE DEPARTMENT'S JOB IS SO IMPORTANT. SO THE FIRST OUESTION THAT WE'RE GOING TO 10 DEAL WITH ON THIS VERDICT FORM IS QUESTION NO. 1. 11 "SHOULD DEFENDANT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES HAVE HAD A 12 13 REASONABLE SUSPICION THAT THE PLAINTIFF, FELICIA M. WAS BEING SEXUALLY ABUSED IN 2010?" THAT'S THE FIRST 14 15 OUESTION. LET'S TALK ABOUT THAT. YOU KNOW, HIS 16 HONOR READ A JURY INSTRUCTION, AND IT'S A VERY 17 IMPORTANT INSTRUCTION ON REASONABLE SUSPICION. I'VE 18 19 ENLARGED IT. 20 "REASONABLE SUSPICION MEANS THAT IT IS OBJECTIVELY REASONABLE FOR 21 A PERSON TO ENTERTAIN THE 22 SUSPICION BASED UPON FACTS THAT 23 COULD CAUSE A REASONABLE PERSON 24 25 IN A LIKE POSITION DRAWING, WHEN APPROPRIATE, ON HIS OR HER 26 TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE TO 27 SUSPECT CHILD ABUSE OR NEGLECT." 28

1 THIS NEXT PART IS IMPORTANT. "REASONABLE SUSPICION DOES NOT 2 3 REOUIRE CERTAINTY THAT CHILD ABUSE OR NEGLECT HAS OCCURRED, NOR DOES IT REQUIRE A SPECIFIC MEDICAL INDICATION OF CHILD 6 7 ABUSE OR NEGLECT. ANY 8 REASONABLE SUSPICION IS SUFFICIENT." ANY REASONABLE SUSPICION IS SUFFICIENT. 10 AND IF YOU HAVE A REASONABLE SUSPICION, AND YOU ARE A 11 MANDATED REPORTER, YOU BETTER REPORT IT BECAUSE THAT'S 12 13 THE LAW. OKAY. AND WE KNOW THAT MS. SEVERO AND 14 MS. SO HAD REASONABLE SUSPICIONS. THEY DON'T WANT TO 15 ADMIT IT IN THIS COURTROOM BECAUSE IF THEY DO, CASE 16 OVER. BUT YOU LOOK AT THE FACTS. THEY ABSOLUTELY HAD 17 18 REASONABLE SUSPICION, AND THAT'S NOT EVEN THE STANDARD BECAUSE IT DOESN'T MATTER WHAT THEY SAY. 19 IT'S THE 20 REASONABLE PERSON. IT'S OBJECTIVE. IT'S SOMEONE WITH 21 LIKE TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE. I MEAN, YOU HAVE HEARD ALL THESE FACTS. 22 REASONABLE SUSPICION. YOU CAN READ ALL THOSE. FLUET 23 HAS A CRIMINAL BACKGROUND FOR SEXUAL BATTERY OF A 24 25 CHILD, AND HE'S SHARING A BEDROOM WITH FELICIA. OKAY. COMMON SENSE. REASONABLE SUSPICION THAT HE IS 26 MOLESTING THAT GIRL. THEY HAD REASONABLE SUSPICION FOR 27 28 ALL OF THOSE FACTS THAT ARE UP ON THAT BOARD.

AND YOU KNOW THEY HAD REASONABLE SUSPICION, BUT AGAIN, IT'S AN OBJECTIVE STANDARD. THE REASONABLE PERSON, THE REASONABLE CHILD PROTECTIVE WORKER WOULD SAY, "ABSOLUTELY I SUSPECT CHILD ABUSE," AND THEY DID. WHY WERE THEY SO ALARMED WHEN THEY FOUND OUT THAT FLUET HAD A CRIMINAL RECORD FOR MOLESTING KIDS? BECAUSE THEY IMMEDIATELY SAID, OH, MY GOD, HE'S SHARING A BEDROOM WITH A SEVEN-YEAR-OLD GIRL HE'S NOT EVEN RELATED TO, AND THE MOM IS GONE AT NIGHT WORKING THE GRAVEYARD SHIFT."

THAT'S WHY THEY SAID TO NELLA TRAN -THAT'S WHY THEY SAID FLUET HAS TO LEAVE IMMEDIATELY.

AND THAT'S WHY THEY SAID "HE CANNOT BE ALONE WITH YOUR
GIRLS EVER," BECAUSE THEY HAD A REASONABLE SUSPICION OF
CHILD ABUSE, LIKE ANYONE WOULD.

AND YOU HEARD FROM OUR EXPERT, THE LIABILITY EXPERT, MR. BONGIOVANNI, WHO TOLD YOU, TOLD YOU THE LAW, LAID IT OUT, THE STANDARD. AND HE SAID, OF COURSE ANY CHILD PROTECTIVE WORKER WOULD HAVE A REASONABLE SUSPICION WITH THOSE FACTS, AND THEY HAVE TO REPORT.

SO QUESTION NO. 1 ON THE VERDICT

FORM -- SHOULD THEY HAVE HAD A REASONABLE SUSPICION?

YES. ABSOLUTELY.

ALL RIGHT. I WANT TO SHOW YOU SOMETHING
ELSE. I WANT TO SHOW YOU A TIMELINE. I'M NOT GOING TO
GO THROUGH IT ALL. YOU CAN SEE IT, BUT IT'S A TIMELINE
FROM 2010. MISSED OPPORTUNITIES BY THE COUNTY SOCIAL

1 WORKERS. BUT HERE IS THE CRITICAL TIME, AUGUST OF

2010, WHEN LUCIA SO IS INVOLVED WITH ELBIS SEVERO AND

3 THEY FIND OUT FLUET IS IN THE HOUSE. HOW DID

4 MS. SEVERO NOT KNOW THAT FLUET WAS LIVING IN THE HOUSE

BEFORE THEN? BUT THEY FIND OUT HE'S LIVING IN THE

HOUSE, AND THEY GIVE HIM -- HE'S GOT TO MOVE OUT AND

CANNOT BE ALONE WITH THE KIDS.

FOLKS, THAT'S REASONABLE SUSPICION RIGHT
THERE. AND IT GOES ON ALL THE WAY TO WHEN THEY CLOSE
THE CASE IN NOVEMBER. ALL RIGHT. THEY HAD REASONABLE
SUSPICION THE ENTIRE TIME AND NEVER REPORTED IT.

DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN MS. SEVERO WAS ON THE STAND AND I WAS ASKING HER -- REMEMBER SHE SAID, "I HAD NELLA TRAN SIGN THE AFFIDAVIT"?

AND THEN I ASKED HER, AND I SAID, "BUT YOU FOUND OUT THAT SHE IGNORED YOUR WARNINGS?" FLUET WAS STILL IN THE HOUSE. HE WAS STILL TAKING FELICIA TO SCHOOL. HE WAS ALONE WITH FELICIA. HE NEVER LEFT, AND SEVERO AND SO LEARNED THAT.

AND I SAID TO MS. SEVERO, "WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU FOUND OUT HE'S STILL THERE?"

WHAT DID SHE DO? REMEMBER HER ANSWER?

NOTHING. SHE DID NOT REPORT IT. SHE

DID NOTHING. SHE WALKED AWAY FROM THAT SITUATION AND

FROM THAT LITTLE GIRL. DID NOT REPORT IT TO THE

HOTLINE, DID NOT REPORT IT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AS

REQUIRED UNDER THE LAW.

SO QUESTION 2 ON THE VERDICT FORM, DID THE

1 DEFENDANT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES VIOLATE ITS MANDATORY

DUTY TO REPORT SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE OF FELICIA M. IN

2010? YES, THEY DID. CLEAR-CUT. NOT EVEN AN ISSUE.

NOT EVEN CLOSE.

THERE IS NO -- THEY DIDN'T REPORT IT.

6 THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT THEY REPORTED IT. THEY

DIDN'T. IT'S UNDISPUTED. THEY DIDN'T REPORT IT. THEY

8 TOOK MATTERS INTO THEIR OWN HANDS AND DECIDED WE'RE

JUST GOING TO DEAL WITH THIS OURSELVES. NEVER REPORTED

IT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OR THE HOTLINE, WHICH WOULD HAVE

GENERATED A NEW INVESTIGATION.

THERE IS A REASON WHY WE HAVE MANDATORY
REPORTING LAWS. WHY DO WE HAVE MANDATORY REPORTING
LAWS WHERE WE SAY CERTAIN PROFESSIONALS WHO COME IN
CONTACT WITH KIDS HAVE TO REPORT SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE
WHETHER IT'S PHYSICAL OR SEXUAL? WHY DO WE HAVE THOSE
LAWS? IT'S BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT THERE ARE WINDOWS OF
OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE MANDATED REPORTERS TO
GET ENOUGH INFORMATION TO SUSPECT ABUSE, AND THAT
WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY IS WHAT SAVES THE KID.

KIDS DON'T GO AROUND GOING UP TO ADULTS
SAYING "I'M BEING SEXUALLY ABUSED." KIDS DON'T GO
AROUND UP TO ADULTS SAYING "MY DAD IS BEATING ME AT
HOME." THAT'S WHY WE ONLY REQUIRE A SUSPICION.
BECAUSE IF YOU HAVE A SUSPICION BASED UPON YOUR
TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE, THAT'S WHAT GETS THE
INVESTIGATION GOING.

THAT'S WHY THOSE LAWS ARE SO IMPORTANT.

THAT'S WHY YOU ONLY NEED TO KNOW THERE IS A MR. FLUET

WHO'S GOT A PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD FOR TOUCHING KIDS WHO

IS SHARING A BED WITH A SEVEN-YEAR-OLD GIRL. THAT IS

ENOUGH TO GO "WE NEED TO INVESTIGATE. WE NEED TO

REPORT."

AND YOU KNOW WHAT WAS REALLY GOING ON HERE? YOU KNOW WHAT WAS REALLY GOING ON HERE WAS MS. SEVERO DID NOT LIKE DANNIS MARQUEZ. SHE DIDN'T LIKE HIM. HE WAS -- AND SHE LIKED NELLA TRAN. SHE LIKED NELLA TRAN. DID NOT LIKE DANNIS MARQUEZ. DANNIS MARQUEZ, THE DAD, MADE HER LIFE DIFFICULT BECAUSE HE ASKED A LOT OF QUESTIONS. HE MADE A LOT OF DEMANDS. HE MADE HER PUT IN SOME EXTRA WORK, AND SHE DIDN'T LIKE IT. AND SHE FAVORED THE MOM, NELLA TRAN.

AND DO YOU REMEMBER THE E-MAIL SHE WROTE?

SHE WROTE IT IN -- RIGHT AROUND THIS TIME FRAME,

SEPTEMBER, OCTOBER, AFTER SHE HAD BEEN ON THIS CASE

SINCE -- SHE HAD BEEN ON THE CASE SINCE APRIL, AND SHE

WAS TIRED OF THE CASE. AND SHE WRITES THE E-MAIL TO

HER SUPERVISOR THAT SAYS -- REMEMBER WE READ IT. SHE

SAYS, "I HAVE MORE PRESSING CONCERNS RIGHT NOW. THIS

FILE IS TAKING UP A LOT OF MY TIME." AND THAT WAS HER

MINDSET.

SHE JUST WANTED THE FILE CLOSED. SHE WAS TIRED OF MR. MARQUEZ. AND SO SHE TOOK MATTERS INTO HER OWN HANDS. "FELICIA IS NOT BEING MOLESTED." I ASKED HER QUESTIONS ABOUT IT, AND THEN SHE CLOSES OUT THE CASE IN NOVEMBER.

AND MS. SO, WHO IS ALSO INVESTIGATING AT THE SAME TIME FOR THE TODDLER BEING OUTSIDE. BUT ISN'T IT INTERESTING THAT IF YOU REMEMBER MS. SO AND MR. CHEUNG, THE SUPERVISOR -- DO YOU REMEMBER MS. SO'S PAPERWORK, HER RECOMMENDATION? HER RECOMMENDATION WAS PROMOTE THIS TO A CASE. THIS NEEDS TO BE INVESTIGATED. HIGH RISK TO THE KIDS. SHE MAKES THAT RECOMMENDATION HERE, AND YET BOTH CASES GET CLOSED IN A MATTER OF DAYS OF EACH OTHER, AND THEY WALK AWAY FROM THAT HOUSEHOLD.

DO YOU KNOW WHY IT'S SO IMPORTANT FOR MANDATORY REPORTERS TO REPORT SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE?
BECAUSE IT LEADS TO INVESTIGATIONS, AND IT LEADS TO FORENSIC INTERVIEWS. YOU HEARD FROM DEBORAH DAVIES,
THE FORENSIC INTERVIEWER. THAT LADY KNOWS HOW TO INTERVIEW KIDS. SHE KNOWS HOW TO DRAW OUT INFORMATION FROM KIDS.

YOU HEARD REPORTING LEADS TO FORENSIC
MEDICAL EXAMS. YOU HEARD FROM THE FORENSIC NURSE,
NURSE WHEELER. SHE KNOWS HOW TO CONDUCT A MEDICAL EXAM
TO FIND PHYSICAL SIGNS OF SEXUAL ABUSE. NONE OF THAT
WAS DONE BECAUSE IT WASN'T REPORTED WHEN IT SHOULD HAVE
BEEN IN 2010.

AND THE OTHER THING I WANT TO TALK ABOUT
BEFORE WE MOVE ON TO DAMAGES IS THIS PART RIGHT HERE IN
OCTOBER. WHEN MS. SEVERO HAS TO FILE THAT REPORT WITH
THE COURT, WHERE SHE HAS TO MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION
THAT THE COURT RELIES ON AND THAT REPORT IS GOING TO BE
AN EXHIBIT. THAT REPORT OMITS INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT

INFORMATION.

1.4

MS. SEVERO DOESN'T PUT ANYTHING IN THERE
ABOUT LOUIS FLUET, ANYTHING IN THERE ABOUT HIM BEING IN
THE BEDROOM WITH THE GIRL, ABOUT HIM HAVING A CRIMINAL
CHARGE AGAINST HIM, ABOUT THE AFFIDAVIT THE MOM HAD TO
SIGN SUPPOSEDLY MAKING HIM LEAVE, ABOUT FLUET NEVER
LEAVING. SHE LEAVES ALL OF THAT OUT.

WHY DOES SHE LEAVE ALL OF THAT OUT OF A REALLY IMPORTANT REPORT TO THE COURT, TO THE JUDGE?
BECAUSE SHE KNEW IF SHE PUT IT IN THERE, THE CASE WOULD REMAIN OPEN, AND SHE WANTED THAT CASE CLOSED, SO SHE LEFT IT OUT INTENTIONALLY. SHOCKING. SO THE BOTTOM LINE, THOSE CHILD PROTECTIVE WORKERS, THEY VIOLATED THEIR MANDATORY DUTY TO REPORT.

NOW, THE THIRD QUESTION, QUESTION 3, WAS DEFENDANT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES'S BREACH OF ITS MANDATORY DUTY TO REPORT SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR IN CAUSING HARM TO FELICIA? OKAY. THIS IS A QUESTION THAT HAS TO BE IN THERE. THAT'S REQUIRED. THAT'S A NO-BRAINER. THAT'S EASY. OF COURSE THERE WAS SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR.

MR. FLUET, THE PERPETRATOR, IF YOU
REMEMBER, HE MOVES INTO THAT HOUSE AROUND MAY OR JUNE
OF 2010. AND HE IS SHARING THE BEDROOM RELATIVELY SOON
AFTER HE MOVES IN, AND YOU HEARD FELICIA SAY IT WAS
WITHIN A MATTER OF WEEKS THAT HE WAS TOUCHING HER IN
THAT BEDROOM. AND SO CERTAINLY BY THE TIME THE SOCIAL
WORKERS, SEVERO AND SO, COME ONTO THE SCENE IN AUGUST,

1 | SHE'S BEING TOUCHED BY HIM, BUT ONLY FOR A SHORT PERIOD

OF TIME. HE'S ONLY BEEN THERE FOR MAYBE A MONTH OR SO.

3 OKAY.

4 AND SO THEY WALK AWAY FROM THAT. HE STAYS

5 THERE AND HE NOW -- AND HE NEVER LEAVES, AND HE

CONTINUES TO MOLEST THAT GIRL FOR BASICALLY THE NEXT 24

7 | MONTHS. HIM AND OTHERS.

IN CAUSING HARM TO THAT GIRL.

BUT WHEN THE TIME THE SOCIAL WORKERS HAD
THAT GOLDEN OPPORTUNITY TO END IT -- IT HAD BEEN MAYBE
GOING ON FOR A MONTH -- AND, BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T END
IT, IT WENT ON FOR ANOTHER 24 MONTHS. THAT IS A
SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR. THEY PLAYED A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR

AND THAT QUESTION SHOULD BE VERY
STRAIGHTFORWARD TO ANSWER. THAT'S A QUESTION. THEY
ANSWER THOSE FIRST THREE QUESTIONS YES TO GET TO
DAMAGES. 1, 2, AND 3 HAVE TO BE YESES.

THERE IS A JURY INSTRUCTION, BEFORE I MOVE ON, ON SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR, AND IT SIMPLY SAYS "WHAT IS A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR?" DON'T OVERTHINK IT. THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS SIMPLY SAYS IT CAN'T BE TRIVIAL OR REMOTE. IT CAN'T BE TRIVIAL OR REMOTE. WELL, OF COURSE THE SOCIAL WORKERS' INVOLVEMENT IN THIS CASE WAS FAR MORE THAN TRIVIAL OR REMOTE. IT WAS A MAJOR FACTOR IN WHAT HAPPENED TO FELICIA.

SO LET'S TALK ABOUT DAMAGES. SO IF YOU ANSWER QUESTIONS 1, 2, AND 3 "YES," YOU COME TO QUESTION 4, WHAT ARE FELICIA'S DAMAGES? AND, REMEMBER,

THERE IS TWO TYPES, ECONOMIC AND NON-ECONOMIC. 1 SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THE ECONOMIC DAMAGES 2 FIRST. ECONOMIC MEANING FUTURE THERAPY, AND OF COURSE, 3 THE NON-ECONOMIC, WHICH WE'RE GOING TO SPEND MORE TIME 4 ON, THE EMOTIONAL SUFFERING OF FELICIA, BOTH IN THE PAST AND IN THE FUTURE. 6 7 SO ECONOMIC DAMAGES -- AND BY THE WAY, THE LAW FOR ALL OF THIS, THE LAW REQUIRES FULL COMPENSATION 8 IF THE EVIDENCE IS THERE, AND IT IS. IT REQUIRES FULL 9 COMPENSATION FOR ALL THE HARM DONE TO THIS GIRL. 10 SO WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? THAT MEANS EVERY 11 ACT OF SEXUAL ABUSE DONE TO THIS GIRL REQUIRES 12 COMPENSATION. EVERY PSYCHOLOGICAL SYMPTOM AND HARM 13 THAT SHE HAS SUFFERED FROM AND WILL SUFFER FROM 14 REOUIRES COMPENSATION. THAT'S FULL COMPENSATION THAT 15 SHE DESERVES. THAT MEANS EVERY ACT THAT FLUET; 16 MARTINSON: THE LEBSCH BROTHERS; AND HER MOM, 17 18 NELLA TRAN, DID TO HER REQUIRES FULL COMPENSATION. THAT'S THE ANALYSIS. THAT'S ALMOST TWO FULL YEARS OF 19 20 BRUTAL SEXUAL ABUSE. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THE ECONOMIC DAMAGES 21 FIRST. YOU HEARD FROM THE TWO EXPERTS, DR. READING AND 22 DR. WELTY. AND THEY -- I'M NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH IT 23 ALL. YOU REMEMBER THEY HAD THEIR OPINIONS ON ALL THE 24 FUTURE NEEDS THAT THEY THOUGHT SHE NEEDED, AND 25 I -- WITH DR. WELTY, WE WENT THROUGH IT ALL, AND ADDED 26 IT ALL UP, AND IT CAME TO \$188,000. OKAY.

AND I WENT THROUGH AND ADDED UP ALL OF

27

28

DR. READING'S. THAT CAME TO ABOUT \$400,000. ALL RIGHT. BECAUSE DR. READING WAS MORE GENEROUS WITH FUTURE THERAPY DOWN THE ROAD LATER ON IN LIFE. HE SAID SHE'S GOING TO NEED MORE THAN WHAT WELTY IS SAYING.

REMEMBER WELTY WAS GIVING HER, LIKE, MAYBE ONE SESSION A YEAR AT SOME POINT.

BUT THAT'S UP TO YOU TO DECIDE. YOU HAVE DISCRETION ON THOSE NUMBERS. ONE IS AT ABOUT 200. ONE IS AT ABOUT 400. YOU MIGHT THINK IT'S IN THE MIDDLE. PERSONALLY I THINK SHE DESERVES EVERY PENNY OF WHAT DR. READING SAYS. BUT THE NUMBER IS PLACED IN ON THE VERDICT, \$400,000. IT'S REASONABLE. YOU HEARD FROM BOTH EXPERTS. YOU HEARD WHAT SHE'S GOING TO NEED DOWN THE ROAD IN TERMS OF THERAPY.

NOW, THIS IS IMPORTANT, TOO. I WANT TO
TALK ABOUT THE FUTURE THERAPY. YOU KNOW THE FUTURE
THERAPY THAT SHE'S GOING TO GET AND THAT SHE NEEDS DOES
NOT SUDDENLY MAKE HER BETTER, DOES NOT MAKE HER
"EVERYTHING IS FINE NOW." WHAT THERAPY DOES FOR
SOMEONE LIKE FELICIA IS IT PUTS HER IN A SPOT WHERE SHE
CAN FUNCTION, WHERE SHE CAN GET THROUGH LIFE. OKAY.
IT PUTS HER -- IT KEEPS HER MOVING FORWARD. THAT
DOESN'T MEAN SHE'S NOT GOING TO SUFFER ANY EMOTIONAL
SUFFERING OR HARM AS SHE GETS OLDER.

BUT THE THERAPIST IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE IT LET'S HER FUNCTION. IT'S LIKE A BAND-AID ON A DEEP WOUND. YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE THE BAND-AID THERE. IT DOESN'T MAKE THE WOUND GO AWAY. AND SEXUAL ABUSE IT

LEAVES A DEEP, DEEP WOUND FOREVER, AND THE THERAPY IS A BAND-AID FOR THAT. IT'S DEFINITELY NEEDED. IT DOESN'T GET RID OF THE ENTIRE PROBLEM.

ALL RIGHT. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE NEXT TWO CATEGORIES. NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES, EMOTIONAL SUFFERING. OKAY. SO LET ME GIVE YOU SOME EXAMPLES BECAUSE I WANT TO PUT THIS IN CONTEXT. YOU KNOW, OUR SYSTEM OF JUSTICE, OUR CIVIL SYSTEM OF JUSTICE IS BASED UPON MONEY DAMAGES FOR HARM DONE. MONEY DAMAGES FOR HARM DONE. THAT'S OUR SYSTEM. THAT'S BEEN OUR SYSTEM SINCE THE FOUNDING FATHERS. MONEY DAMAGES FOR HARM DONE.

IT'S A DIFFICULT CONCEPT. A LOT OF PEOPLE COME TO COURT AND THEY HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT IT.

THEY ARE SKEPTICAL ABOUT IT. IT'S A DIFFICULT CONCEPT TO WRAP YOUR HEAD AROUND. MONEY DAMAGES FOR EMOTIONAL SUFFERING, FOR EMOTIONAL HARM. I'M GOING TO HELP YOU WITH IT.

YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT OUR SOCIETY, AND,
LOOK, OUR SOCIETY PLACES VALUE ON CERTAIN THINGS IN
ORDER TO PUT THE HARM TO THIS GIRL IN CONTEXT. SO I'M
GOING TO GIVE YOU SOME EXAMPLES. OKAY.

FOR EXAMPLE, THE MOST EXPENSIVE MILITARY PLANE THAT THIS COUNTRY MAKES IT'S CALLED A GLOBE MASTER. IT'S A HUGE PLANE THAT TRANSPORTS TROOPS AND DROPS THEM OFF, CAN CARRY 200 TROOPS. COSTS \$350 MILLION TO BUILD THAT PLANE, 350 MILLION, ONE PLANE.

AND LET ME THROW OUT A SCENARIO FOR YOU.

LET'S ASSUME THAT THEY TEST THAT PLANE FOR THE FIRST

TIME. ALL RIGHT. THAT \$350 MILLION PLANE, THEY ARE
TESTING IT FOR THE FIRST TIME. THEY HAVE A TEST PILOT

IN THERE AND SOMETHING HORRIBLE GOES WRONG, ENGINE

4 PROBLEMS. WHAT IS THE MOST NUMBER ONE CONCERN THAT THE

MILITARY OR ANYONE ELSE WOULD HAVE IN THAT SITUATION?

IT'S SAVING THE PILOT'S LIFE. THEY DON'T CARE ABOUT

THE \$350 MILLION PLANE. IT'S SAVING THE PILOT'S LIFE

BECAUSE WE PLACE, SOCIETY, VALUE ON LIFE, ON HUMANS,

FAR MORE THAN ANY PLANE.

\$450 MILLION PAINTING.

ANOTHER EXAMPLE. YOU KNOW, THE MOST EXPENSIVE PIECE OF ART THAT HAS EVER BEEN SOLD WAS A DAVINCI PAINTING, \$450 MILLION FOR A PIECE OF ART. \$450 MILLION. AND SO ASSUME THIS SCENARIO. LET'S ASSUME THAT IT'S BEING MOVED FROM ONE MUSEUM TO ANOTHER, AND THE MUSEUM WHO IS GETTING IT, THEY -- WHOEVER IS DELIVERING IT, THEY RUIN THE PAINTING. HOWEVER THEY DO IT, THEY RUIN IT SOMEHOW.

JURY HAS GOT TO DECIDE, WELL, HOW MUCH DOES THE PERSON WHO OWNS THE PAINTING GET. IT'S FAIRLY EASY. PEOPLE COME IN. THEY TESTIFY, AND THEY GO, THAT PAINTING WAS WORTH \$450 MILLION, AND HERE IS WHY, AND THERE IS NOT A LOT OF DISPUTE ABOUT THAT.

AND THE JURY IS LIKE, HEY, IT'S WORTH \$450 MILLION. IT'S WHAT ALL THE EXPERTS SAY, AND THEY HAVE NO PROBLEM AWARDING THAT KIND OF MONEY BECAUSE THAT'S -- THERE IS SOME SORT OF APPRAISAL FOR THAT.

BUT THERE IS NOT AN APPRAISAL FOR A GIRL WHO HAS BEEN SEXUALLY ABUSED AND WHO IS GOING TO LIVE WITH IT FOR HER WHOLE LIFE.

COUPLE OTHER EXAMPLES. I'M JUST PUTTING
THINGS IN CONTEXT HERE. IN 2018 THERE ARE C.E.O.'S,
CHIEF EXECUTIVES, OF TECH COMPANIES THAT HAVE MADE
\$100 MILLION IN ONE YEAR FOR THEIR SERVICES, AND WE ALL
KNOW THAT THERE IS ATHLETES THAT MAKE 35-, \$40 MILLION
IN SALARY ALONE FOR ONE YEAR. ENTERTAINERS. THOSE ARE
EXTREME EXAMPLES. BUT THERE IS HOUSES. THERE IS A
HOUSE IN BEL AIR THAT IS LISTED FOR \$180 MILLION.

NOW, THOSE ARE EXTREME EXAMPLES, BUT, YOU KNOW WHAT, THIS IS AN EXTREME CASE. THIS IS AN EXTREME CASE WHERE A GIRL HAS BEEN HORRIFICALLY HARMED. HORRIFICALLY HARMED. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU. I AM GOING TO ASK YOU TO AWARD MANY MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO THIS GIRL FOR THE HARM SHE HAS SUFFERED.

NOW, I WANT TO DO ONE THING. I WANT TO GIVE A BIT OF REALITY TO WHAT FELICIA WENT THROUGH.

AND BEAR WITH ME. BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, IN A COURTROOM,

IT'S STERILE. WE TALK IN COURTROOM LANGUAGE. YOU

HEARD "SEXUAL ABUSE." YOU HEARD "MOLESTATION." YOU

HEARD TERMS LIKE THAT, "INAPPROPRIATE TOUCHING." YOU

HEAR THESE TERMS LIKE THAT.

BUT I WANT TO FOCUS ON WHAT THIS GIRL WENT THROUGH, AND I'M PICKING OUT A WEEK, A WEEK IN 2011 OR 2012, AND YOU HEARD THE TESTIMONY. YOU HEARD IT FROM MARTINSON AND THESE GUYS, FROM THE DETECTIVE. A WEEK

IN THE LIFE OF FELICIA.

SO MONDAY SHE'S HOME IN HER BEDROOM AND SHE HEARS FLUET COMING UP THE STAIRS TOWARDS HER BEDROOM, AND SHE KNOWS WHAT IS COMING NEXT. AND SHE KNOWS FLUET, WHEN HE WALKS IN THAT ROOM, AND HE WALKS IN AND SHE'S THERE ALONE WITH HIM, SHE KNOWS WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN BECAUSE IT'S BEEN HAPPENING FOR A LONG TIME.

AND HE WALKS IN THAT ROOM, AND HE SAYS,
"LET'S GO FELICIA. GET ON THE BED AND TAKE OFF YOUR
CLOTHES," AND HE HAS SEX WITH HER AGAINST HER WILL.
NINE-YEAR-OLD GIRL. YOU SAW HER ON THAT VIDEO. YOU
SAW HER. YOU SAW HOW INNOCENT THAT LITTLE GIRL WAS.
YOU SAW HOW INNOCENT SHE WAS. THAT'S MONDAY.

THEN ON TUESDAY SHE'S HOME AND TIM

MARTINSON IS THERE HIGH ON DRUGS, MR. MARTINSON. SHE'S

DOWN IN THE GARAGE, AND YOU HEARD WHAT GOES ON DOWN IN

THAT GARAGE, AND MR. MARTINSON SAYS, "FELICIA, GET DOWN

HERE." AND SHE SAID, "I ALWAYS HAVE TO GO DOWN TO THE

GARAGE WHEN THEY CALL." SHE GOES DOWN TO THAT GARAGE,

AND MR. MARTINSON SAYS, "GET OVER HERE, FELICIA. YOU

PUT THIS IN YOUR MOUTH RIGHT NOW BECAUSE I KNOW YOU

LIKE IT." THAT'S TUESDAY.

WEDNESDAY, WELL, THE BABYSITTER IS THERE.

ONE OF THE LEBSCH BROTHERS, THE BABYSITTER. EVERYONE
IS GONE, BUT THE BABYSITTER IS THERE LEBSCH. "FELICIA,
TAKE OFF YOUR PANTIES RIGHT NOW BECAUSE I'M GOING TO
TOUCH YOU, AND YOU KNOW YOU ARE GOING TO LET ME DO IT."

AND HE DOES. THAT'S WEDNESDAY.

THURSDAY, NELLA TRAN IS HOME WITH HER
DAUGHTER. AND FELICIA GOES UP TO HER MOM AND SAYS,
"MOM, I DON'T WANT TO GO IN THE ROOM WITH THOSE GUYS.
I DON'T WANT TO DO IT." AND NELLA TRAN SAYS TO HER
"YOU GO IN THAT ROOM, AND YOU DO WHAT THEY SAY, AND I
DON'T WANT TO HEAR ABOUT IT." AND WHY? BECAUSE SHE'S
GETTING DRUGS AND MONEY FROM THEM. AND THAT POOR GIRL
HAS TO GO IN THAT ROOM, AND SHE'S GOT NO ONE TO TURN
TO. THAT'S THURSDAY.

FRIDAY, THEY ARE ALL THERE. AND MS. TRAN, HER MOM, SAYS "GUESS WHAT, WE'RE ALL GOING TO GO IN THE ROOM TONIGHT, AND I'M GOING TO THINGS TO YOU, MY OWN DAUGHTER, AND I'M GOING TO DO THINGS TO YOU, AND YOU ARE GOING TO GO ALONG WITH IT, AND THEN THOSE GUYS ARE GOING TO DO THOSE THINGS TO YOU, AND THEY ARE GOING TO WATCH, AND THEY ARE GOING TO TAKE PHOTOS OF IT." THIS GIRL HAS TO DO THAT. AND SHE HAS NO OTHER WAY. SHE HAS NO ONE TO TURN TO. THAT'S FRIDAY.

GUESS WHAT? SHE DOESN'T GET WEEKENDS OFF.

AND THAT WAS THE PATTERN IN THAT HOME FOR MONTHS AND

MONTHS AND MONTHS. BRUTAL. IT COULD HAVE BEEN

PREVENTED BACK IN 2010 IF THEY HAD DONE THEIR JOB UNDER

THE LAW TO REPORT ABUSE. NEVER WOULD HAVE OCCURRED.

SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT FELICIA'S HARM,

THAT'S THE HARM WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. HER PAST HARM,

I'M NOT GOING TO GO INTO THE LIST. PERVASIVE, SEVERE

SEXUAL ABUSE; PTSD, POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER;

DEPRESSION; ANXIETY; EMOTIONAL TURMOIL; CONFUSION;

GUILT; CUTTING.

REMEMBER SHE'S CUTTING HERSELF BECAUSE
THAT FEELS BETTER THAN THINKING ABOUT ALL OF HER
THOUGHTS. SHE WOULD RATHER FEEL PAIN ON HERSELF.

TRUST ISSUES. AND THEN THE LAST TWO ARE

AFTER ALL THIS COMES TO LIGHT WHERE SHE URINATES ON

HERSELF AND SHE GOES NO. 2 IN HER BEDROOM BECAUSE SHE'S

GOT SUCH AN ANXIETY DISORDER. THOSE ARE HORRIFIC,

HORRIFIC SYMPTOMS. IT'S ALL FROM THE SEXUAL ABUSE.

AND THEN YOU TALK ABOUT HER FUTURE HARM.

YOU HEARD FROM THE EXPERT, DR. READING. EVEN THEIR OWN

EXPERT AGREES IT'S GOING TO AFFECT HER FOR THE REST OF

HER LIFE. SHE'S 15 YEARS OLD. SERIOUS EMOTIONAL

DISTURBANCES FOR THE REST OF HER LIFE.

SELF-ESTEEM, HYPERVIGILANCE, BLUNTED
PERSONALITY, DIFFICULTY WITH HER PEERS, DIFFICULTY WITH
RELATIONSHIPS AS AN ADULT, DIFFICULTY TRUSTING PEOPLE,
AND PTSD, DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY. THAT'S NOT EVEN A
COMPLETE LIST OF WHAT THIS GIRL IS GOING TO SUFFER
THROUGH, BUT YOU HAVE TO COMPENSATE HER FOR ALL OF
THAT, PAST AND FUTURE, AND ALL OF THE ACTS, EVERY
SINGLE ACT THAT SHE HAD TO ENDURE.

SO, LOOK, I'M AN ADVOCATE. THAT'S MY JOB.

I'M A LAWYER. I'M HERE TO ADVOCATE ON BEHALF OF MY

CLIENT, FELICIA. SO THE NUMBERS I'M GOING TO SUGGEST,

YOU MIGHT THINK THOSE ARE WAY TOO HIGH. YOU MIGHT

THINK THOSE ARE WAY TOO LOW. BUT THESE ARE REASONABLE

1 | NUMBERS I'M GOING TO SUGGEST.

DONE IT IN RANGES, PAST AND FUTURE RANGES, BECAUSE I DID IT BASED UPON WHAT WAS GOING ON IN HER LIFE AT THE TIME. SO ON THE FAR LEFT, PAST DAMAGES FOR TWO YEARS, AUGUST OF 2010 TO JULY OF 2012, TWO YEARS OF ABUSE.

PER YEAR THOSE ARE THE FIGURES THAT ARE REASONABLE.

\$9.5 MILLION TO \$12.7 MILLION MULTIPLIED BY TWO YEARS.

AND THEN NEXT AUGUST 2012 TO NOW, THAT'S A SIX-YEAR PERIOD. SIX-YEAR PERIOD. THAT'S THE AFTERMATH. YOU HEARD WHAT THIS GIRL WENT THROUGH FOR THE PAST SIX YEARS AFTER SHE WAS FINALLY REMOVED FROM THAT HOME. \$3.2 MILLION PER YEAR TO \$4.7 PER YEAR. THOSE ARE REASONABLE NUMBERS FOR HARM THAT SHE WENT THROUGH EACH YEAR TIMES SIX.

AND THEN IN THE FUTURE, AGAIN, I BROKE IT DOWN. YOU MIGHT DO IT A DIFFERENT WAY. THIS

IS -- THIS MAKES SENSE TO ME GIVEN HER SITUATION. AGE

15 TO 21. THAT'S NOW UNTIL SHE'S, YOU KNOW, 21 YEARS

OLD. BASICALLY AN ADULT, A YOUNG ADULT.

THE NEXT SIX YEARS SHE'S GOING TO BE GOING TO HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLEGE, AND SHE'S GOING TO BE IN THERAPY MOST OF THE TIME, AND SHE'S GOING TO HAVE TO START DATING GUYS AND DEALING WITH MORE SERIOUS RELATIONSHIPS AND SHE'S GOING TO HAVE TO START -- SHE'S NOT GOING TO HAVE TO. SHE'S GOING TO TRY TO START BEING INTIMATE WITH SOMEBODY. IT'S GOING TO BE DIFFICULT FOR HER. I THINK A REASONABLE RANGE \$1.5 TO

1 \$2 MILLION A YEAR.

THEN THE NEXT RANGE, AGE 21 TO 35. WHY
THAT RANGE? BECAUSE THAT'S WHEN SHE'S AN ADULT, A
YOUNG ADULT, AND SHE IS PROBABLY, IN ALL LIKELIHOOD,
HAVING A SERIOUS RELATIONSHIP BY THEN. SHE PROBABLY
STARTS HAVING FAMILY BY THEN. SHE PROBABLY EVEN HAS
CHILDREN BY THEN. AND ALL OF THOSE THINGS -- YOU HEARD
FROM THE EXPERT. THEY ARE ALL GOING TO RAISE SERIOUS
ISSUES WITH HER. SHE'S GOING TO BE IN THERAPY BECAUSE
OF SERIOUS ISSUES THAT ARISE DURING THAT PERIOD OF
TIME.

AND THEN 35 TO 50. NOW, WHEN SHE'S IN HER ADULT YEARS, MID LIFE, 15 YEARS. AGAIN, SHE'S GOING TO BE RAISING HER KIDS. SHE'S GOING TO BE HYPERVIGILANT ABOUT RAISING HER KIDS BECAUSE OF WHAT HAPPENED TO HER. SHE'S GOING TO LOOK AT HER KIDS AND GO "THAT WAS ME WHEN I WAS NINE. OH, MY GOD." I THINK \$400,000 TO \$900,000 PER YEAR, 15 YEARS.

AND FINALLY AGE 50 TO HOWEVER YOU LONG YOU THINK IS APPROPRIATE. THE LIFE TABLE SAYS SHE LIVES UNTIL SHE'S 80, SO THAT'S 30 YEARS, BUT AGAIN, THE HARM DOESN'T GO AWAY. \$150,000 TO \$200,000 PER YEAR.

YOU MIGHT THINK THOSE ARE TOO HIGH. YOU
MIGHT THINK THEY ARE TOO LOW. THAT IS YOUR JOB TO
DECIDE WHAT SHE DESERVES FOR PAST AND FUTURE, AND THOSE
ARE FAIR RANGES. YOU HAVE TO COMPENSATE HER FOR ALL
THE HARM DONE.

ALL RIGHT. WHAT DID THIS GIRL LOSE? THIS

GIRL LOST HER CHILDHOOD. SHE LOST HER CHILDHOOD. SHE

HAD NO CHILDHOOD. SHE HAD BEEN MOLESTED FROM AGE NINE.

THAT ENDED HER CHILDHOOD, AND HER CHILDHOOD NOW IS

TRYING TO JUST TO GET THROUGH LIFE TO TRY TO BECOME

SOMEWHAT NORMAL AGAIN. SHE LOST SAFETY, TRUST, HER

SELF-ESTEEM, HER FUTURE. SHE LOST HER ABILITY TO ENJOY

LIFE.

YOU HEARD DR. READING TALK ABOUT THAT

CONCEPT. IT'S CALLED ANHEDONIA. THE ABILITY TO

ACTUALLY ENJOY CERTAIN THINGS IN LIFE -- SHE LOST THAT.

SHE'S ALWAYS GOING TO BE CYNICAL AND SKEPTICAL AND

CONCERNED AND WORRIED AND ANXIOUS AND DEPRESSED.

IT DOESN'T MEAN SHE'S NOT GOING TO
FUNCTION IN LIFE. SHE IS. SHE'S A COURAGEOUS LITTLE
GIRL. SHE'S IN HIGH SCHOOL. SHE WANTS TO GO TO
COLLEGE. YOU HEARD WHAT HER ASPIRATIONS ARE. GOOD FOR
HER. IT'S NOT GOING TO BE AN EASY ROAD FOR HER.

YOU KNOW, BACK THERE WHEN YOU ARE DISCUSSING THIS AWARD, THE DISCUSSION IS NOT "WELL, HOW MUCH SHOULD THE COUNTY PAY?" IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THAT, YOU ARE NOT FOLLOWING THE LAW. OR DOES IT EVER GET PAID OR WHO PAYS IT OR HOW DOES SHE COLLECT THAT.

IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT ANY OF THAT, YOU ARE NOT FOLLOWING THE LAW. BECAUSE WHAT THE LAW SAYS IS YOU COMPENSATE HER FOR ALL THE HARM DONE BY THESE GUYS AND HER MOM. AND THEN YOU ARE GOING TO GO APPORTION FAULT, WHICH WE'RE GOING TO GET TO IN THE NEXT FIVE MINUTES.

AND BY THE WAY, ANY AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT IS AWARDED, MR. MARQUEZ IS NOT A PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE. I TOLD YOU THAT. HE DOES NOT TOUCH THAT MONEY. IT'S HER MONEY. IT'S MANAGED. IT'S APPROVED BY THE COURT. IT'S MANAGED BY TRUSTEES, AND IT'S SPREAD OUT OVER HER LIFETIME, AND IT'S ONLY USED FOR APPROPRIATE PURPOSES, AND MR. MARQUEZ HAS ZERO CONTROL OVER THAT. IT IS 100 PERCENT HERS, AND TRUSTEES ARE THE ONES WHO MANAGE IT.

I'M ALMOST DONE. LAST THING WE'RE GOING
TO TALK ABOUT. SO THERE IS ONE LAST THING IN THIS CASE
THAT IS IMPORTANT. AND THAT IS RESPONSIBILITY.
RESPONSIBILITY. YOU HAVE TO DECIDE WHO IS RESPONSIBLE.
AND, BY THE WAY, YOU ARE GOING TO FILL IN THOSE TWO
NUMBERS AND TOTAL IT ALL UP FOR DAMAGES ON THE SPECIAL
VERDICT FORM.

VERDICT FORM, QUESTION 5, WHAT PERCENTAGE OF
RESPONSIBILITY FOR FELICIA M.'S HARM DO YOU ASSIGN TO
THE FOLLOWING, AND IT'S GOT TO TOTAL UP TO A HUNDRED
PERCENT. THIS IS WHERE YOU TALK ABOUT THE COUNTY AND
THEIR RESPONSIBILITY AND ALL THESE OTHER PEOPLE, AND I
WANT TO GIVE YOU -- AND LOOK, YOU KNOW, YOU ARE GOING
TO PUT PERCENTAGES FOR EACH ONE OF THESE.

I CAN FILL IN THESE TWO RIGHT NOW.

BRITNEY CONNER AND MS. WILLIS. I HAVE NO IDEA HOW THEY

COULD POSSIBLY BE AT FAULT FOR ANYTHING, BUT THEY ARE

ON THERE. THE JURY INSTRUCTION SAYS THAT THE COUNTY

WANTS THEM ON THERE. SO THEY ARE ON THERE. THEY DID
NOTHING WRONG. THEY DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT FLUET. SEVERO

AND SO NEVER TOLD THEM ABOUT FLUET. SO HOW WOULD THEY

POSSIBLY KNOW THERE WAS A GUY SLEEPING IN THE BEDROOM

5 | WITH FELICIA.

THEY SAID IF THEY TOLD ME THAT, WE WOULD HAVE LOOKED AT THINGS A LOT DIFFERENTLY, BUT THEY WERE NOT TOLD. THEY HAVE NO FAULT HERE.

SO YOU ARE REALLY TALKING ABOUT THE
PERPETRATORS AND THE COUNTY. THAT'S WHO YOU ARE
DIVIDING FAULT UP BETWEEN. AND LET ME GIVE YOU AN
ANALOGY ON FAULT, ON RESPONSIBILITY, SEE IF IT HELPS.

YOU KNOW, A SCORPION. WHAT DOES A SCORPION DO? SCORPIONS STING PEOPLE. SCORPION STINGS PEOPLE. THAT'S WHAT SCORPIONS DO. YOU WALK UP AND A SCORPION IS SITTING THERE, AND YOU ARE GOING TO GET STUNG. THAT'S LIKE GUYS LIKE FLUET AND MARTINSON. THEY ARE SCORPIONS. THEY ARE PREDATORS. THEY MOLEST LITTLE GIRLS. LEBSCH. THOSE GUYS ARE SCORPIONS.

BUT LET'S FOCUS ON FLUET BECAUSE SOCIAL WORKERS, CHILD PROTECTIVE WORKERS, KNEW THEY HAD A SCORPION ON THEIR HANDS WITH FLUET BECAUSE OF HIS CRIMINAL RECORD AND WHAT HE WAS DOING. THEY KNEW THEY HAD A SCORPION BACK IN 2010.

OKAY. THEN THEY KNEW WHEN THEY WARNED

MS. TRAN THAT SHE HAD A SCORPION IN THE HOUSE, THEY

REALIZED MS. TRAN DIDN'T CARE BECAUSE MS. TRAN WAS

"HE'S STILL THERE. HE'S NOT LEAVING." SO THEY KNEW

THE MOM DIDN'T CARE IF THERE WAS A SCORPION IN THE
HOUSE. AND GUESS WHAT? THE SCORPION DOES WHAT
SCORPIONS DO. THEY STING. AND FLUET DID WHAT HE DOES.

HE MOLESTED FELICIA. PREDICTABLE.

SO WHO IS MORE AT FAULT FOR THAT? WHO IS MORE AT FAULT FOR THAT? IS IT THE SCORPION, OR IS IT THE COUNTY THAT COULD HAVE PREVENTED IT ALL FROM HAPPENING, THAT KNEW THEY HAD THIS DANGEROUS GUY IN THE HOUSEHOLD AND LEFT HIM THERE AND DIDN'T REPORT IT AS THEY ARE MANDATED UNDER THE LAW TO DO. WHO IS MORE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT?

SO YOU'VE GOT TO DECIDE PERCENTAGES. I'M
NOT GOING TO GIVE YOU SPECIFIC NUMBERS, BUT, AGAIN, I
THINK THESE TWO NUMBERS ARE FAIR, 65 PERCENT ON THE
COUNTY AND 35 PERCENT ON THOSE PERPETRATORS. YOU
DIVIDE IT UP ANY WAY YOU WANT, BUT I THINK THAT'S FAIR
AND REASONABLE GIVEN THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE.

AND BY THE WAY, IF YOU THINK THE

COUNTY -- IF YOU THINK THE COUNTY FAULT SHOULD BE

REALLY SMALL, WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS, "HEY, GEE,

DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, MS. SEVERO,

AND MS. SO, YOU DID A PRETTY GOOD JOB. YOU MAY KNOW

YOU MAY HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF FAULT IN THIS CASE. YOU

DID A PRETTY GOOD JOB." THAT'S WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IF

YOU PUT A LOW NUMBER THERE. THAT'S DEFINITELY NOT THE

CASE. THEY DID NOT DO THEIR JOB. THEY DID A HORRIBLE

JOB.

I DON'T THINK THAT'S THE MESSAGE YOU WANT

TO SEND TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES IN THIS CASE.

1.0

MR. GUTERRES: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,
CLOSING ARGUMENT IS NOT EVIDENCE. IT'S THE ATTORNEY'S
PERCEPTION, BELIEF, ABOUT WHAT THE EVIDENCE SHOWED.

MR. RING: SO I GET ANOTHER CHANCE TO STAND UP
AFTER THEY GIVE THEIR CLOSING, BUT I WILL BE REALLY
SHORT THEN. MAYBE FIVE MINUTES, MAYBE TEN AT THE MOST.

BUT AFTER YOU HAVE DONE ALL THIS, YOU HAVE COMPLETED THE VERDICT FORM. YOU FILLED IT OUT. YOU HAVE TO ANSWER 1, 2, AND 3 "YES" TO GET TO DAMAGES. YOU HAVE TO COMPLETE ALL OF THE DAMAGES, AND THEN ANSWER THIS QUESTION 5. AND ONLY THEN HAVE YOU COMPLETED THE VERDICT FORM, AND THE FOREPERSON SIGNS IT, TELLS MS. GONZALEZ YOU HAVE A VERDICT, AND YOU COME INTO COURT AND YOU READ IT.

SO THIS IS IT FOR THIS GIRL. THIS IS HER ONE TRIAL FOR FELICIA. SHE'S 15 YEARS OLD. SHE DOES NOT GET TO COME BACK TO COURT AT AGE 25, 35, 45, OR 55. THIS IS IT. SHE GETS ONE TRIAL. AND THIS IS IT. THIS VERDICT IS IT FOR HER LIFE, AND SHE'S A COURAGEOUS YOUNG LADY. SHE REALLY IS. SHE WAS SCARED TO DEATH ON THAT WITNESS STAND. I CAN TELL YOU THAT. SHE WAS SCARED TO DEATH GOING UP THERE, BUT SHE DID IT. SHE GOT UP THERE.

SO I ASK YOU TO RENDER A VERDICT AND
DELIVER JUSTICE FOR THAT GIRL. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR

1 TIME. THE COURT: MR. RING. THANK YOU. 2 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT'S ABOUT 10:25 OR 3 4 SO. SO WE'LL BE IN RECESS UNTIL 10:40. IF YOU WOULD GATHER OUTSIDE A MINUTE OR SO BEFORE THAT AND THEN 5 WE'LL HEAR FROM MR. GUTERRES AND HIS CLOSING ARGUMENT. 6 7 REMEMBER, YOU ARE STILL UNDER THE OBLIGATION NOT TO 8 DISCUSS ANYTHING ABOUT THE CASE. 9 THANK YOU. 10 (RECESS TAKEN.) 11 12 (CALL TO ORDER OF COURT.) 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ALL JURORS AND 14 15 ALTERNATES ARE PRESENT. WE'LL NOW HEAR MR. GUTERRES'S 16 CLOSING ARGUMENT. THANK YOU. 17 MR. GUTERRES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. 19 AGAIN, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND THE 20 DEFENSE, WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND 21 ATTENTION, AND WE APPRECIATE YOU KEEPING AN OPEN MIND UNTIL YOU HAVE HEARD ALL OF THE EVIDENCE. WE ASKED 22 THAT YOU DO THAT AS JURORS, BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL AND 23 LISTEN TO THE EVIDENCE, AND WE APPRECIATE THE TASK THAT 24 25 YOU HAVE UNDERTAKEN. THE PLAINTIFF HAS THE BURDEN OF PROOF. SO 26 27 THIS WILL BE MY LAST TIME TO SPEAK TO YOU ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY. AND WE ASKED EACH OF YOU DURING JURY 28