### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON

#### FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

\* \* \*

v.

JOSEPH EDWARD WILD,

Respondent.

### Case No.

### STIPULATED JUDGMENT FOR PERMANENT STALKING PROTECTIVE ORDER

The parties, Petitioner \* \* \* and Respondent, JOSEPH EDWARD WILD,

stipulate by their signatures below that the following is true:

Petitioner,

- 1. Petitioner is a resident of Multnomah County, state of Oregon.
- 2. Respondent is a resident of Multnomah County, state of Oregon.
- For purposes of ORS 163.741, or any subsequent action under ORS 163.750, that service of the court's stalking protective order is waived because Respondent appeared before the court.
- On or between November 2008 and April 19, 2009, Respondent intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly alarmed or coerced Petitioner by engaging in repeated and unwanted contact.
- Respondent knew or should have known that the repeated contact was unwanted. Specifically, Respondent repeatedly contacted Petitioner via telephone approximately 50 times on or between November 2008 and April 19, 2009, despite Petitioner's repeated demands that Respondent not contact Petitioner via telephone.

## Page 1–STIPULATED JUDGMENT FOR PERMANENT STALKING PROTECTIVE ORDER

- 6. Respondent's telephone contacts alarmed or coerced Petitioner because Respondent made vulgar, threatening statements about what he was going to do to Petitioner sexually and stated that he knew where Petitioner lived.
- 7. It was objectively reasonable for a person in Petitioner's situation to have been alarmed or coerced by Respondent's contact.
- 8. Respondent's repeated and unwanted contact caused the Petitioner reasonable apprehension regarding the Petitioner's own personal safety.
- 9. The unwanted contact was perceived by the Petitioner as a credible threat of imminent serious personal violence, and it was reasonable to believe that such threat was likely to be followed by unlawful acts.
- 10. Respondent represents a credible threat to the physical safety of the Petitioner.

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, from which the court concludes that Respondent intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly alarmed or coerced Petitioner by engaging in repeated and unwanted contacts, now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that a judgment for a permanent stalking protective order against Respondent Joseph Edward Wild is hereby entered, and Respondent is prohibited from engaging in and attempting any Contact with the Petitioner. "Contact" includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- a. Coming into the visual or physical presence of the Petitioner;
- b. Following the Petitioner;

## Page 2–STIPULATED JUDGMENT FOR PERMANENT STALKING PROTECTIVE ORDER

- c. Waiting outside the home, property, place of work, or school of the Petitioner or of a member of the Petitioner's family or household;
- d. Sending or making written or electronic communications in any form to the Petitioner;
- e. Speaking with the Petitioner by any means;
- f. Communicating with the Petitioner by any means, including through a third person;
- g. Committing a crime against the Petitioner;
- h. Communicating with a third person who has some relationship to the Petitioner with the intent of affecting the third person's relationship with the Petitioner;
- i. Communicating with business entities with the intent of affecting some right or interest of the Petitioner;
- j. Damaging the Petitioner's home, property, place of work, or school or
- k. Delivery directly or through a third person any object to the home, property, place of work, or school of the Petitioner.

Dated:

Kenneth Walker Circuit Court Judge

### IT IS SO STIPULATED:

# Page 3–STIPULATED JUDGMENT FOR PERMANENT STALKING PROTECTIVE ORDER

	Dated:
Petitioner	
	Dated:
Joseph E. Wild Respondent	
Approved as to Form:	
Erin K. Olson, OSB 934776 Attorney for Petitioner	Dated:
Spencer Hahn, OSB 043027 Attorney for Respondent	Dated:

Page 4–STIPULATED JUDGMENT FOR PERMANENT STALKING PROTECTIVE ORDER